MDR Tracking Number: M5-04-1568-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305 titled Medical Dispute Resolution - General and 133.308 titled Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organizations, the Medical Review Division assigned an IRO to conduct a review of the disputed medical necessity issues between the requestor and the respondent. The dispute was received on 2-3-04.

The Medical Review Division has reviewed the IRO decision and determined that **the requestor prevailed** on the issues of medical necessity. Therefore, upon receipt of this Order and in accordance with §133.308(r)(9), the Commission hereby orders the respondent and non-prevailing party to **refund the requestor \$460** for the paid IRO fee. For the purposes of determining compliance with the order, the Commission will add 20 days to the date the order was deemed received as outlined on page one of this order.

In accordance with §413.031(e), it is a defense for the carrier if the carrier timely complies with the IRO decision.

Based on review of the disputed issues within the request, the Medical Review Division has determined that **medical necessity was the only issue** to be resolved. The office visits with manipulation/chiropractic manipulative treatment, ultrasound, electrical stimulation, and radiologic exam (spine) from 5/20/03 to 9/3/03 were found to be medically necessary. The respondent raised no other reasons for denying reimbursement for the above listed service.

On this basis, and pursuant to §§402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 of the Act, the Medical Review Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to pay the unpaid medical fees in accordance with the fair and reasonable rate as set forth in Commission Rule 133.1(a)(8) plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the requestor within 20 days of receipt of this order. This Order is applicable to dates of service 5/20/03 through 9/3/03 in this dispute.

The respondent is prohibited from asserting additional denial reasons relative to this Decision upon issuing payment to the requestor in accordance with this Order (Rule 133.307(j)(2)).

This Decision and Order is hereby issued this 20th day of April 2004.

Regina L. Cleave Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

RLC/rlc

IRO Certificate #4599

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

April 9, 2004

Re: IRO Case # M5-04-1568
Texas Worker's Compensation Commission:
has been certified as an independent review organization (IRO) and has been authorized to perform independent reviews of medical necessity for the Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC). Texas HB. 2600, Rule133.308 effective January 1, 2002, allows a claimant or provider who has received an adverse medical necessity determination from a carrier's internal process, to request an independent review by an IRO.
In accordance with the requirement that TWCC assign cases to certified IROs, TWCC assigned this case to for an independent review has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. For that purpose, received relevant medical records, any documents obtained from parties in making the adverse determination, and any other documents and/or written information submitted in support of the appeal.
The case was reviewed by a Doctor of Chiropractic who is licensed by the State of Texas, and who has met the requirements for TWCC Approved Doctor List or has been approved as an exception to the Approved Doctor List. He or she has signed a certification statement attesting that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers, or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to referral to for independent review. In addition, the certification statement further attests that the review was performed without bias for or against the carrier, medical provider, or any other party to this case.
The determination of the reviewer who reviewed this case, based on the medical records provided, is as follows:
History The patient injured his lower back in while handling a refrigerator. After the injury he sought treatment from his chiropractor. Treatment consisted of ultrasound, electrical muscle stimulation and manipulation.

Requested Service(s)

99213-MP OV-Manipulation, 97035 Ultrasound, 97014 Elec Stim unattended, 72100 Rad exam spine, 98941 Chiro Man treatment 5/20/03-9/3/03

Decision

I disagree with the carrier's decision to deny the requested services.

Rationale

The patient responded very favorably to the disputed treatment. He was placed at MMI on 8/20/03 after having been released by his chiropractor on 7/18/03. He had been asymptomatic for one month at the time of his MMI evaluation, and was working at his original job. On 9/3/03 it appears from the records provided for review that the patient re-injured his back, necessitating additional chiropractic treatment, and was told by his chiropractor to return on a PRN basis. SOAP notes were well prepared, showing objective, quantifiable findings to support treatment.

The treatment was appropriate and cost-effective, and showed objectively measured and demonstrated functional gains.

This medical necessity decision by an Independent Review Organization is deemed to be a Commission decision and order.